UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

	X	
EILEEN MURRAY	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
	:	Docket No.
V.	:	
	:	EFC CASE
BRIDGEWATER ASSOCIATES, LP, AND	:	ELECTRONICALLY FILED
BRIDGEWATER ASSOCIATES, LP	:	
PHANTOM EQUITY INCENTIVE AWARD	:	
PLAN	:	
	:	
Defendant.	:	
	X	

COMPLAINT

FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Eileen Murray ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Murray") is an individual who resides in Upper Grand View, New York.

2. Defendant Bridgewater Associates, LP ("Bridgewater") is a Delaware Limited Partnership with a place of business at One Glendenning Place, Westport Connecticut.

3. Defendant Bridgewater established its Bridgewater Associates, LP Phantom Equity Incentive Award Plan (the "Plan," and with Bridgewater, "Defendants") which is a non-qualified employee benefit plan as defined by Section 201(2) the Employee Retirement and Income Security Act ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. § 1002(2), that is exempt from certain requirements of ERISA, as a plan maintained primarily for the purpose of providing deferred compensation to a select group of management or highly compensated employees.

Case 3:20-cv-01052 Document 1 Filed 07/24/20 Page 2 of 6

4. Based on the allegations in this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment pursuant to ERISA and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2201.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5. Plaintiff brings this action under ERISA § 502(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. 1132(a)(1)(B), and 28 U.S.C. § 2201, to clarify her rights to future benefits under the terms of, and otherwise to recover benefits under, the Plan, and for appropriate equitable relief under ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. 1132(a)(3).

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action, and it may assert personal jurisdiction over Defendants pursuant to ERISA 502(e)(2), 29 U.S.C. 1132(e)(2). Venue is proper in this District as Defendants do business in the Connecticut, and Plaintiff had been employed in Connecticut by Bridgewater.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

7. Bridgewater is reported to be the largest hedge fund in the world with assets under management approximating \$140,000,000,000.00.

8. Plaintiff joined Bridgewater in 2009 and has acted a Co-Chief Executive Officer since 2013.

9. During her tenure at Bridgewater as Co-Chief Executive Officer, Plaintiff was consistently given high performance reviews and received praise for leading the firm in generating significant profits.

10. A significant component of compensation earned by Plaintiff was in the form of deferred compensation awards ("Deferred Compensation") granted to her annually under the Plan

Case 3:20-cv-01052 Document 1 Filed 07/24/20 Page 3 of 6

by Bridgewater for each year of the eleven (11) years of her work, each of which is scheduled to be paid in annual installments of varying durations.

11. The value to Ms. Murray of her accrued Deferred Compensation over the next ten (10) years depends on certain performance variables, but can be estimated to range from \$20 million to \$100 million-- significant sums that she earned for her eleven (11) years of work.

12. Plaintiff has relied on the Deferred Compensation she earned from Bridgewater to plan for the care of her family needs in her retirement years.

13. Plaintiff departed her employment at Bridgewater in early April of 2020.

14. Plaintiff has an international business profile and is regularly reported in the media as being one of the most highly placed women executives in the financial industry. Plaintiff also has served as a leader and mentor for women in the business world and financial industry, and is regularly asked to provide insights and guidance at public forums about diversity and inclusion practices.

15. The circumstances underlying Plaintiff's departure from Bridgewater have given rise to substantial claims by Plaintiff against Bridgewater based on gender discrimination, unequal pay, and breach of contract.

16. Plaintiff has sought in good faith to resolve such claims, but to no avail.

17. While her dispute with Bridgewater has become protracted, Plaintiff has continued to gain professional recognition since her departure from Bridgewater.

18. Plaintiff was recently named Chairperson of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, known as FINRA.

19. Plaintiff was also recently named to the Board of Directors of the international bank, HSBC, and the Guardian Life Insurance Company.

Case 3:20-cv-01052 Document 1 Filed 07/24/20 Page 4 of 6

20. Plaintiff has also been approached with opportunities to join other Boards and to consider various investment initiatives which necessarily require disclosure about material matters impacting, or potentially impacting, her participation.

21. Based on Plaintiff's present and potential roles and duties, including without limitation her judgment about what is appropriate and necessary to disclose to current and prospective business partners, Plaintiff has informed Bridgewater that she has disclosed, and plans to disclose, to various third parties the existence of her dispute with Bridgewater.

22. Consistent with the foregoing, Plaintiff specifically informed Bridgewater that she disclosed to FINRA that she was involved in a dispute with Bridgewater which involves unequal treatment by Bridgewater and significant unpaid compensation due her.

23. On July 14, 2020, Bridgewater informed Ms. Murray in writing that, based upon the authority granted Bridgewater under the terms of the Plan, her public disclosures about her dispute with Bridgewater will result in a forfeiture of Plaintiff's Deferred Compensation.

24. Ms. Murray disputed in writing the authority of Bridgewater to forfeit her Deferred Compensation awards, however, the Defendants have failed to reverse their position.

25. Ms. Murray also stands as a role model for women, and feels a personal duty to uphold the principles of fair and equal treatment that she has publicly avowed for women in the workplace.

26. Bridgewater's claim that Ms. Murray stands to forfeit her Deferred Compensation because she has affirmed her right to publicly disclose the existence of her gender discrimination and breach of contract claims against Bridgewater, is both a breach of the terms of the Plan and an improper gambit to silence her voice.

Case 3:20-cv-01052 Document 1 Filed 07/24/20 Page 5 of 6

27. Ms. Murray has acknowledged that certain specifically defined "confidential information" and "trade secrets" of Bridgewater are protected ("Confidential Information"), and may not be improperly disclosed, which Ms. Murray has respected and will continue to respect.

28. However, Bridgewater has used a false and otherwise grossly expanded, bad faith assertion under the terms of the Plan to claim forfeiture of Ms. Murray's earned Deferred Compensation, all as part of a cynical plan to intimidate and silence her.

29. Such conduct by Bridgewater is patently wrongful and cannot go unchallenged.

30. Ms. Murray exhausted an internal review of her rights with Defendants.

31. In the event the Court were to determine that Ms. Murray did not exhaust her internal review rights, such exhaustion would have been futile because the Plan lacks any internal review process, and the same persons who have claimed she forfeited her Deferred Compensation rights under the Plan would decide any such request for review.

32. As outlined above, Ms. Murray has critical practical, ethical and fiduciary concerns to consider in the midst of her substantial dispute with Bridgewater, and thus needs the clarity of a declaratory judgment that states that her public disclosures about her gender discrimination and breach of contract dispute with Bridgewater, so long as she does not improperly disclose Bridgewater's clearly defined "Confidential Information," do not provide Defendants the right to forfeit her Deferred Compensation.

33. Plaintiff therefore requests a declaratory judgment clarifying her right to her
Deferred Compensation and her future benefits under the terms of the Plan pursuant to 29 U.S.C.
§1132(a)(1)(B), and other appropriate equitable relief pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(3).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests the following relief:

- Entry of a Declaratory Judgment, as set forth above, that Plaintiff's public disclosures about her gender discrimination and breach of contract dispute with Bridgewater, so long as she does not disclose Bridgewater's clearly defined "Confidential Information," do not provide Defendants the right to forfeit her Deferred Compensation under the Plan;
- 2) Awarding reasonable attorneys' fees and costs; and
- 3) Granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: New Canaan, CT July 24, 2020

PLAINTIFF, EILEEN MURRAY

By: <u>/s/ Brendan J. O'Rourke</u> Brendan J. O'Rourke, Esq. (ct 00522) O'ROURKE & ASSOCIATES, LLC 205 Main St. Suite 38 New Canaan, CT 06840 Tel. (203) 425-7660 Brendan@o-alaw.com

David Martin, Esq. (ct 27581) William N. Wright, Esq. (ct 28418) CUMMINGS & LOCKWOOD, LLC Six Landmark Square Stamford, CT 06901 (203) 327-1700 Dmartin@cl-law.com Wwright@cl-law.com

Case 3:20-cv-01052 Document 1-1 Filed 07/24/20 Page 1 of 1

JS 44 (Rev. 08/16)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
Eileen Murray			Bridgewater Asso	ociates, LP			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Rockland (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Fairfield (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A O'Rourke & Associates, I 205 Main Street Suite 38 New Canaan, CT 06840	LC	2	Attorneys (If Known)				
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O.	ne Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government)	Vot a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State	IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T			
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	2 🗖 2 Incorporated and F of Business In A			
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				Click here for: Nature of Su			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		RTS PERSONAL INJURY 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General	of Property 21 USC 881 G 690 Other 1 690 Other 1 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 7 720 Labor/Management Relations 7 740 Railway Labor Act 7 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 7 90 Other Labor Litigation S 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 MMIGRATION 4 462 Naturalization Application	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 959 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
		Remanded from Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe	erred from ☐ 6 Multidist er District Litigation	1 - Litigation -		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil State ERISA § 502(a)(1 Brief description of ca Breach of Benefit	ause:	(specify) e filing <i>(Do not cite jurisdictional sta</i> 2(a)(1)(B)		Direct File		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : □ Yes ⅩNo		
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	1	DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE 07/24/2020		SIGNATURE OF ATT	ORNEY OF RECORD				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AI	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JU	DGE		